

**From:** Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 1/19/2012 5:53:46 PM  
**To:** Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:** Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; kulik.michael@epa.gov; seneca.roy@epa.gov  
**Subject:** Fw: IBTimes Question - Dimock Water Test

Betsaida,

Some reporters who have looked at the Action Memo and technical documents we posted to the web are beginning to ask questions to clarify or be sure they are understanding the information correctly. It is taking some time for our technical experts here to prepare responses.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

----- Forwarded by Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US on 01/19/2012 05:47 PM -----

**From:** "Pierre Bertrand" <p.bertrand@ibtimes.com>  
**To:** Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 01/19/2012 04:42 PM  
**Subject:** IBTimes Question - Dimock Water Test

Hello Terri,

As per our conversation, here are my questions:

Can you define for me what the two columns "Comparison Value" and "Maximum" mean? Is "Maximum" the volumetric measurements of pollutants that are permitted in water wells as defined by law, and "Comparison Value" the volumetric measurement of pollutants found in the Dimock wells? Or Vice Versa?

Can you tell me what is the proximity of the 60 homes to a natural gas well that was hydraulically fractured? In other words, how close are these 60 homes to the natural gas well?

Based on your chart "summary of residents without water (updated 1-13-12)" it appears as if 10 homes have contaminated water wells. Why is then that only 4 families are receiving water shipments?

Thank you very much.

Please have someone get back to me as soon as they can.

Pierre Bertrand  
IBTimes – Reporter  
646.867.7181